

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/587,629	06/05/2000	Rono James Mathieson	SLA.0155	7733	
7590 05/21/2004			EXAMINER		
Robert D Varitz, P.C.			LUU, SY D		
2007 S.E. GRANT STREET Portland, OR 97214			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Tornand, OK	77214		2174		
			DATE MAILED: 05/21/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1		Application	on No.	Applican	t(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/587,62	29	MATHIES	MATHIESON, RONO JAMES				
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Sy D Luu		2174					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SH THE   - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR IT MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FION. CFR 1.136(a). In no evention. In a perior within the statury period will apply and with the statury statute, cause the apply and with the specific statute.	ent, however, may a story minimum of th Il expire SIX (6) MC lication to become a	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be consist NTHS from the mailing da ABANDONED (35 U.S.C.)	ate of this communication. § 133).				
Status	So pataliti dalla daggiorni da	•							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	on <u>3/2/04</u> .							
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	★ This action is	non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
· <u> </u>	ion of Claims	ation							
4)[	Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the applic 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi		neidoration						
<b>5</b> \□	•	ididiawii iioiii coi	isiuci allori.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
·	☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected.								
· · · · ·	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers									
· · · _	The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□	accepted or b)	objected to by	the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ a	pproved b)	disapproved by the	Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
* S	<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.									
Attachmen			22. 00 0.0.0	00					
1) 🛭 Notic 2) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper			w Summary (PTO-413) of Informal Patent Applic					

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This communication is responsive to Amendment C, filed 3/2/04.

2. Claims 1-5 are pending in this application. Claims 1, 3, 5 are independent claims. In the

Amendment C, claims 1 and 3 were amended. This action is made Non-Final.

3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found

in a prior Office action.

## Allowable Subject Matter

4. The indicated allowability of claims 2, 4 and 5 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Ramaley et al. (US 6,687,741 B1). Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lavendel et al. ("Levendel", US 6,587,129 B1).

As per claim 1, Lavendel teaches a user interface for controlling peripheral devices comprising: a peripheral option display generated by the peripheral device's device-specific options driver software (col. 6, lines 40-45; col. 7, lines 28-31; col. 8, lines 1-16; dynamically-loadable device driver software providing specific device user interface corresponding to device-specific peripheral) for presenting peripheral device-specific peripheral options to a user immediately following selection of a peripheral device in an independent vendor's PC

Application/Control Number: 09/587,629 Page 3

Art Unit: 2174

application (fig. 7; "ScanGear" is an independent vendor's PC application; in addition, vendors of different peripheral device provides their own device driver corresponding to its device user interface to the device interface object 50), wherein said peripheral option display includes a peripheral device-specific user interface (figs. 10a and 10b; col. 11, lines 54 et seq.).

Although Lavendel teaches the command selection for a peripheral device to be made by selecting, from a tab command bar, a command tab having specific control description (col. 3, lines 32-36 and lines 44-46), Lavendel does not teach the tab command bar to show icons. However, Official Notice is taken that the use of icons on command bars is notoriously well known in the art. It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to combine such a feature with the command bar of Lavendel in order to give users quick visual identification of available commands.

Claim 3 is similar in scope to claim 1, and is therefore rejected under similar rationale. Lavendel does not expressly disclose the vendor's PC application to include access to a printer as a secondary function. However, Official Notice is taken that the printer function is well known in the art. It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to include such a feature with Lavendel's user interface so that users would have quick and easy access to the printing function, e.g. from within the editing user interface as shown in fig. 7, for printing and validating the edited image.

6. Claims 2, 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lavendel et al. ("Levendel", US 6,587,129 B1) in view of Ramaley et al. ("Ramaley", US 6,687,741 B1).

Application/Control Number: 09/587,629

Art Unit: 2174

As per claim 2, Lavendel does not teach the user interface to include a bypass function for deselecting said peripheral option display. Ramaley teaches an user interface which includes a bypass function for deselecting an option display (col. 8, lines 35-38). It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to combine Ramaley's teaching with Lavendel's user interface in order to bypass the option display if the user does not want to be presented with the option display again in the future.

Claims 4 and 5 are individually similar in scope to claim 2, and are therefore rejected under similar rationale.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's remarks/arguments with respect to claims 1 and 3 have been considered but are not persuasive. Applicant remarked that based on the interview conducted between the Applicant's representative and the Examiner on July 31, 2003, it was indicated by the Examiner that if the claim language were amended to differentiate the dialog box of the application peripheral user interface from the claimed device-specific driver user interface, the claims would be distinguishable over the applied prior art.

However, upon reviewing the claim language of the amended claims, it appears to the Examiner that the claims as amended are still read on by the previously applied prior art, namely the Lavendel et al. reference. As cited in the rejection, the device-specific user interface is generated and provided by the vendor's PC application to the device interface object 50, which manages the dynamically loadable device driver software to provide specific device user interface corresponding to its device-specific peripheral. It is also noted that Lavendel's

Application/Control Number: 09/587,629

Art Unit: 2174

"ScanGear" user interface shown in fig. 7 reads on the claim language of "independent vendor's

Page 5

PC application".

Inquiries

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Sy Luu whose telephone number is (703) 305-0409. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday from 7:00 am to 4:30 pm (EST). The

examiner can also be reached on alternate Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Kristine Kincaid, can be reached on (703) 308-0640.

The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is

(703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

SY D. LUU

PRIMARY EXAMINER